Reserve

P9

App. F. IX-4

1928.

File No. 354-28

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

*Previous References*

*Later References*

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

*Serial Nos.* 2

**Part B for** May 1929

SUBJECT

Recommends sanction to Erah

Re-S. No 22 of Devalapura village, Belur Taluq as Dewan to Sri Hanumantadevaru temple at Mushgalahalli in the same Taluq.

G.O. No 2434-5 dated 2.5.29

To the Surveyor Gen'l Supdt. Rev. Sec & Subsidy

Sanctioned

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

(Flag C of the V. Records)

(5) The Inam is remaining as the old Khaisal patik ^ 1877 merely it and has all along been regarded also as such. The only course now to be done is to request the Inam Supr to make the necessary changes in the Quit Register by noticing the said Inam in the Quit rent Register. The Inam Supr wants also a Govt order for inclusion of the Same in the Quit rent register. This may be done as recommended by the D.C. Inam Supr & the Mysore Comm^r. Kind refer is invited Rules^ I to IV of the Original Inam Settlement rules in the matter of Validity of Inams. Subj to

K

^ N 221 of the N R M.

6.6.29

(6) The D^s recommendation may be approved

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
9 APR. 1929
No. 6701

So Ker) 8/4/29

7 Yes CSS 15/4

Mrs Br) 16/4/29

Secy & Dept 15/4

No. 6701

1st Member 29.4.29 10

G R S. 11] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Inwards )

D 1392

NOTES.

File No. 557-28

FILE HEADING.

Continuance of the S. no 22 of Devalapura village in the Belur Taluk as inam to Sri Hanumanta Devaru temple at Muttigadahalli in the same Taluk.

From

The Asst. Comr.

No. 1334 Dated 8/3/29 Received

| Diary No. | Enclos. | Spare Copies. | Serial No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1392 | 1 | | 1 |

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends sanction for reasons stated, to the D.C's recommendation to treat the land as inam to the temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The D.C's letter copy of which has been rec'd. with the A.C's report states that the inam subsists has been enquired.

(2) Orders solicited as the A.C's recommendation. (3) The case for magistrate enquiry perhaps

AC 7/4/29

(4) For Member

Mr KLS Yes 5/4/29

NOTES—First Sheet.

6131

GPB—35,000—15 6-12

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

of 192

Serial No.

2

B
LW
7/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet

D 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, [illegible] 1929

8

No. 1334 Enq 7 file
454 28-9

FROM

P. C. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

MUZRAI

Inam 354-28-1 D1392

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In submitting herewith records received with the Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.607-27, dated the 7th February 1929 (copy enclosed) in the matter of the continuance of Re S.No.22 of Devalapura village in the Pelur Taluk, as inam to the Sri Hanumantadevaru temple at Muthgadahalu in the same Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:--

Original S.No.20 (Re.S.No.22) of Devalapura village, Pelur Taluk, is reported to be in the enjoyment of the Sri Hanumantadevaru at Muthgadahalu village from a very long time. As this S.No. was not included in the Quit-Rent Register, the Survey and Inam Superintendent during the resurvey made a remark on the Paisal Patrike that the matter should be enquired into. In the result it being not possessible to find any reason for the omission the Amildar was asked to obtain sanction of Government for its being included in the Quit rent Register as inam to the temple. The Khetwar and Joddidar Patrike show that this S.No.is an inam to the temple.

Agreeing with the local officers, the Deputy Commissioner now recommends that the said S.No.may be continued as inam to the institution and the same may be included in the quit rent Register as such.

In view of the fact that the said S.No. has long been in the possession and enjoyment of the temple and that the same has been

been borne out from entries in the Khetwar and Jodidar Patrika I -- request kind sanction to the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner to treat the land as Inam to the temple.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Chenchiah, Asst
Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from Station

#3 -

Letter No.C.607-27 dated 7th February 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore.

---

Subject. Continuance of Reserve No.20 of Devalapura village, Belur Taluk as Inam to Sree Hanumantha Devaru temple at Muthagadahalu village.

---

I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records on the above subject ending with Sakleshpur Sub-Magistrate's office's memo No.Al.684-26 dated 31-1-27, and to state that it is reported that original S.No.20 (Re S.No.22) of Devalapura village, Belur Taluk, is in the enjoyment of Sree Hanumantha Devaru at Muthagadahalu village in the same taluk and that the land is being enjoyed as Inam to the temple from a very long time and is in the possession of the temple archak who is paying only cesses but no jodi.

As the Inam was not included in the Quit Rent Register, the Superintendent of Inam Settlement started enquiries into the matter, and as the reason for the omission was not forthcoming, he instructed the Amildar to obtain Government sanction.

The Khetwar and original survey jamabandi patrias show that this number is as inam to the temple. As the land has long been in the possession of the temple, I request you to be so good as to recommend to the Government for its continuance as such, and inclusion in the quit rent Register.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

Sec Inam

File No. 35-4-28

Serial No. 2

General and revenue Secretariat

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

( )

*the* *192*

*Read*— Inam Commr's letter no 1534 dt. 8th March 1928, recommending that S. no 22, 9 Devalapura village in Bellur Hk. be treated as inam to the Sri Hanumantha devaru temple at Muthgadahalli in the same Taluk

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Original S no 20. (Re. S no 22) of Devalapura village, Bellur Hk is reported to be in the enjoyment of Sri Hanumantadevaru at Muthgadahalli village [illegible]

AC

RG

DPS

very long time. On the Re Survey,
the omission of this number in
the Quit Rent Register was
discovered by the Survey & Inam
Supdt through the Kulkarni and
Jodedar Patreke Sheristhar to
S no 1 an inam to the temple
Agreeing with the local opinion
the Dy C^r now recommends that
the S no may be continued as
inam to the institution, the Inam
Commissioner supports the recommendations
of the Dy C^r and the decision of the Govt in the Revenue Dept
under the circumstances explained, the Govt are
pleased to order the continuance
of the S no. as inam to the
temple, the necessary entries
being made in the Quit Rent
Register —

The recommendation is sanctioned.

*List of enclosure.*

(Mug 29.4.29)

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

29/4 JL SS

Mug Comr with reference
Survey Supdt & Inam Supdt.

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5 27

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:—

Muzrai Commissioner's letter No. 1334 dated 8th March 1929 recommending that S. No. 22 of Devalapura village in Belur Taluk be treated as Inam to Sri Hunmanthadevaru temple at Muthgadahalu in the same Taluk.

- - - - - - - -

O r d e r No. Dated Bangalore the 1929.

Original S. No. 20 (Re. S.No.22) of Devalapura village, Belur Taluk is reported to be in the enjoyment of Sri Hanmanthadevaru at Muthgadahalu village from a very long time. In the Re-survey the ommission of this number in the Quit-Rent Register was discovered by the Survey and Inam Superintendent, though the Khetwar and Jodidar Patrike showed that this S. No was an Inam to the temple. Agreing with the local officers the Deputy Commissioner now ~~xxxxxxx~~ recommends that the S. No. may be continued as inam to the institution. The Muzrai Commissioner supports the recommendations of the Deputy Commissioner and the inclusion of the land in the Quit-rent Register. The recommendation is sanctioned.

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ with records.
The Survey and Inam Superintendent.